1 2	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
4 5	Plaintiff, \
6	-vs- Case No. 12 CR 416
7	CHARLES B. ESTELL, Chicago, Illinois August 13, 2013 Defendant. 9:30 a.m.
8	Defendant.) 9:30 a.m.
9	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
10	BEFORE THE HONORABLE GARY FEINERMAN
11	APPEARANCES:
12	For the Government: HON. PATRICK J. FITZGERALD
13	UNITED STATES ATTORNEY BY: MR. PATRICK J. KING, JR.
14	MS. CAROL A. BELL 219 South Dearborn Street, Suite 500,
15	Chicago, Illinois 60604 (312)353-5300
16	
17	For the Defendant: LAW OFFICE OF GREGORY T. MITCHELL BY: MR. GREGORY T. MITCHELL
18	18141 Dixie Highway Suite 111
19	Homewood, Illinois 60430 (708) 799-9325
20	(100) 100 0020
21	Court Reporter:
22	CHARLES R. ZANDI, CSR, RPR, FCRR
23	Official Court Reporter United States District Court
24	219 South Dearborn Street, Suite 2128 Chicago, Illinois 60604
25	Telephone: (312) 435-5387 email: Charles_zandi@ilnd.uscourts.gov

1 (Proceedings heard in open court:) 12 CR 416, USA versus Estell. 2 THE CLERK: 3 THE COURT: Okay. Good morning. Who do we have? 4 MR. KING: Good morning, your Honor. Patrick King 5 and Carol Bell on behalf of the United States. 6 MR. MITCHELL: Good morning, your Honor. Gregory 7 Mitchell on behalf of Mr. Charles Estell, Judge, who's present in court. THE COURT: Okay. Good morning. We're all set for 9 10 trial. We're here for a motion, docket No. 63, which is the 11 defendant's motion to correct the record of proceedings of the 12 arraignment on the superseding indictment. 13 And I read the motion. And sometimes -- I appreciate 14 that the motion was filed. Sometimes, you know, not too 15 often, but sometimes things get said in court that when you 16 sit back and consider it, you think that it wasn't as accurate 17 as it could have been. 18 So, the question is: What do we do now? Should we 19 re-arraign Mr. Estell? 20 MR. MITCHELL: Judge, I think, again, there was two 21 I know it concerned Mr. Estell, because again, he issues. 22 wanted to make sure that he was not misrepresenting by saying, 23 "Yes," and I know the predicament that I put him in. But if 24 you wouldn't mind simply passing this for just two seconds, 25 Judge, or five minutes, I will sit here with Mr. Estell.

We'll go over it again. And I think we can do it at that 1 2 point, Judge, just to clarify the record. 3 THE COURT: Do a re-arraignment at that point? 4 MR. MITCHELL: Yes, Judge. 5 THE COURT: Okay. Then why don't we do that. 6 MR. MITCHELL: Thank you. 7 (Recess had.) THE CLERK: 12 CR 416, USA versus Estell. 8 9 THE COURT: All right. So, is there any objection 10 from the government to the motion to correct the record? 11 No, your Honor. MR. KING: 12 THE COURT: Okay. Then I'll grant docket No. 63, 13 which is the motion to correct the record. The record is 14 corrected. 15 And we should go forward with the re-arraignment, is 16 that correct? 17 MR. MITCHELL: Judge, Mr. Estell and I have gone over 18 the superseding indictment, Judge. We've looked at the prior 19 indictment compared to what the superseding, saw the 20 difference. And at this point, we would waive reading 21 publicly, Judge, and enter a plea of not guilty to both 22 Counts 1 and 2. 23 THE COURT: All right. And, Mr. Estell, you're on 24 board with that? 25 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Okay. Let me ask the government to
advise the defendant of the maximum penalties in this case.

MR. KING: The maximum penalty for Count 1 is
4 25 years' imprisonment, a fine of \$250,000. Count 2 provide

25 years' imprisonment, a fine of \$250,000. Count 2 provides for a statutory mandatory minimum sentence of seven years to be consecutive with any sentence imposed on Count 1, and a maximum term of imprisonment of life. Both charges provide for a statutory fine of \$250,000, and with the Count 1, it's \$250,000 or twice the gross gain or loss.

THE COURT: And you understand that, Mr. Estell? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Okay. And we're all set for trial? It's in September, is that correct?

MR. KING: Yes, Judge.

MR. MITCHELL: September 9th, Judge. I did get a -just so the Court knows, I did get a stack of documents at the
last court appearance, and I went through it. And, Judge, I
will likely be filing, with the approval of the Court, motions
in limine, a couple, not a lot, based on what I just received.

And it's possible that I'm going to be filing, and I'm pretty sure I will, Judge, in the next day and a half, a motion to suppress the vehicle and the documents seized therein. I just got the search warrant and the statements with the officers, I think they were Oak Lawn officers who actually found the search and did some other things, and I got

1	those records. But I'll get that on file, Judge, within the
2	next two days.
3	MR. KING: We may be able to resolve it prior to the
4	trial date, Judge. We'll know as soon as we see it.
5	THE COURT: File the motion and notice it up for
6	hearing so you'll get on my calendar before September 9th.
7	MR. MITCHELL: Yes, Judge.
8	THE COURT: Anything else?
9	MR. KING: No, your Honor. Thank you.
10	MR. MITCHELL: Thank you, your Honor.
11	(Which were all the proceedings heard.)
12	CERTIFICATE
13	I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from
14	the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.
15	
16	/s/Charles R. Zandi August 18, 2014
17	Charles R. Zandi Date Official Court Reporter
18	official court reporter
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	